# IJFEAT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY

TITLE: DRY WALL: NECESSITY UNDER THE INDIAN CONSTRUCTION

# **INDUSTRY**

# Mr. Rahul Danane<sup>1</sup>, Mr. Sajjan Wagh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student, Civil Department, PVPIT Pune, Maharashtra, India, **dananerahul23@gmail.com** <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Civil Department, PVPIT Pune, Maharashtra, India, **sajjanwagh1@gmail.com** 

#### Abstract

Construction industry plays vital role in the Indian economy, which is rapidly growing as per the current market scenario. The demand of the construction is continuously increasing in the domestic, commercial and industrial requirement. The construction time and cost is the major key factors in the construction industry, which may affect the delay and other several impacts on the contractor or builder business. Secondly, the quality of the work/ fished also required for the client. Therefore, it is important to maintain the constant quality and services. In these case study the dry wall analysis and technical evaluation was concluded by case study. In these case study the different types of green construction material and their market analysis, comparative analysis between the brick bricks Vs dry wall as by considering the various aspect for the Indian construction industry. Where the delay and skilled labour is the major concerned for the construction industry.

\*\*\*

Index Terms: Dry wall, Green material, eco friendly construction material, the oxford golf resort. etc.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

In different countries in the world, the name of the dry wall is different. Dry wall also called gyp rock, gyp board or gypsum board, plaster board, wall board these names reflect that the construction of the wall is dry wall. There is 2 sorts of gypsum wallboard standard and fire-evaluated (or type x as it's come to be called). A noteworthy normal for gypsum drywall is its capacity to oppose fire. it does this through its substance creation. Gypsum (calcium sulphate) contains artificially consolidated water around half by volume. At the point when presented to flame, the water, because of warmth, halfway changes over to steam, which viably opposes fire. The contrary side of the gypsum board divider stays cool until the point that all water in the gypsum centre has been changed over to steam or until the point when the flares rupture the gypsum board itself.

The new drywall will be filled with tiny beads of paraffin that would absorb heat during the day, and release it at night. It could be the latest thing in green building technology. This type of construction is suitable and can be applied for all commercial and residential projects, such as Model houses/ apartment, studio apartments, Residential homes, office building, factories, departmental stores, etc. These are light weight systems – 8 to 10 times lighter than conventional systems like Brick / Block work, comparatively much faster construction, almost – five to eight times faster along with smooth finish, aesthetically beautiful crack free surfaces.

Besides the newly mined material, up to 20% of the gypsum used to manufacture drywall can be recycled from waste generated at the manufacturing plant or at construction sites. The content covered in this paper gives a highlight on the overall system of dry wall and the concept has been diverted in the viewpoint of sustainable development and managerial benefits at construction sites.

#### **1.1 Problem Statement**

In the Indian construction industry, some major problems are faced like delay, Labour, Time estimation, Quality and finishing. Where in India, the construction industry is continuously growing and its necessity for GDP is more so to minimize this problem statement need to improve or adopt the new technology, which can save the time and quality of the work to maintain the other factors.

#### 1.2 Aim

By using the comparative analysis method, study the traditional methods (Bricks/ Block) to Dry wall, considering the variable parameter with respect to time, cost and quality for the Indian construction industry

#### **1.3 Objectives**

- 1) The objective of this study is to analyze the necessity of the dry wall under the Indian construction industry
- 2) To compare drywall construction technique and conventional technique.
- 3) To evaluate the conventional construction industry (Brick/blocks) to the dry wall by using the comparative analysis method.

# 1.4 Scope of the work

- 1. Introduction to green construction materials in construction.
- 2. Comparison of drywall to the traditional methods (brick/block).

3. Analysis of amount of time & cost saved by use of drywall technique.

4. Analysis of risk factors in execution of drywall.

5. Preparation of checklists onsite for drywall technique.

# 1.5 Methodology

- The study of conventional brick construction industry and availability of the green construction material in the market.
- To visit the site, where dry wall is already installed.
- Selection of area for the study i.e. Case Study.
- Surveys required for the research work: Preliminary survey which include the collection of traditional brick/block construction data and dry wall

# 1.6 Necessity of the study

Deferrals are one of the most concerning issues development firms confront. Deferrals can prompt numerous negative impacts, for example, claims amongst proprietors and temporary workers, expanded costs, loss of profitability and income, and contract end.

# 2. SITE SURVEY

- 1) Novotel Hotel Pune.
- 2) The residences oxford golf resort.



Site Address: Mumbai-Bangalore Highway, Next to Crystal Honda Showroom, Bavdhan, Pune, Maharashtra 411045

### ISSN: 2321-8134

Case <u>Study : The residences oxford golf resort.</u>



Site Plan:







# **2.1 Site Area Calculation:** Flat 1BHK.

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
wal   )   I     1   (m   -     (m   -   -     m)   -   -     1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51   -   -   -   -	
1 (m m)   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51   55   55   55	
(m m)   (m m)   (m m)   (m)   (m)     1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51   51   51   51   51	
m)   1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51	
1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51   51   55	
1   W4   144   6.74   3.1   1   21.1   18.   Co     1   4   7   51	
1 4 7 51 5	rridor
	side
2 W4 144 1.05 2.5 -1 -	
3 2.66	
3 W1 123 3.83 3.1 1 12.0 10. Pa	rtition
4 3 00 Be	tween
liv	ing &
4 W1 123 0.8 2.5 -1 - bed	l room
3 2.02	
5 W2 122 3.34 3.1 1 10.5 10. Pa	rtition
.5 9 4 2 52 be	tween
to	ilet &
6 W2 122 1.25 3.1 1 3.93 Be	droom
.5 4	
7 W2 122 0.8 2.5 -1 - Pa	rtition
.5 3 1.90 Be	tween
liv	ing &
8 W2 22. 0.75 2.5 -1 - Po	owder
5 3 2.02 r	oom
9 W3 128 3.08 3.1 1 9.67 12. Co	mmon
	wall
be	tween
10 W3 128 0.98 3.1 1 7.75 tw	o flat
8 4	
11 W5 100 252 21 1 704 10 D	rnnon
11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa	-11 - 6
11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa     4   28   w	all of
11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa     4   28   w   pc	all of wder
11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa     12   W5   122   1.7   3.1   1   5.34   rr	all of wder oom
11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa     11   W5   122   2.53   3.1   1   7.94   13.   Pa     12   W5   122   1.7   3.1   1   5.34   r	all of owder oom
11 W5 122 2.53 3.1 1 7.94 13. Pa   12 W5 122 1.7 3.1 1 5.34 pc   12 W6 75 2.62 2.5 1 6.56 8.7 7	all of owder oom
11 W5 122 2.53 3.1 1 7.94 13. Pa   12 W5 122 1.7 3.1 1 5.34 wpc   13 W6 75 2.62 2.5 1 6.56 8.7 T	all of owder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of owder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of owder oom oilet
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of owder oom oilet
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of owder oom oilet
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of wwder oom 'oilet wwder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of all of owder oom 'oilet owder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	all of owder oom oilet owder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	initial of all of owder oom ioilet owder oom
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ioilet wder oom ioilet wder oom

#### ISSN: 2321-8134

Sr	Type of	Area
No	wall	(Sq m)
1	W1	10.00
2	W2	10.52
3	W3	12.77
4	W4	18.51
5	W5	13.28
6	W6	8.78

Summary of wall for 1BHK

Dry wall Cost Calculation by considering the given rate: Cost = Material cost + Labour cost

Type of wall	Rate in Rs /
	Sq m
W1	2853
W2	3300
W3	3133
W4	3524
W5	3546
W6	1788

# 2.2 Dry Wall Cost calculation

.

Sr	Type of	Туре	Thick	Area	Rate in	Cost in Rs
	wall	of	ness	(Sq m)	Rs / (Sq	
Ν		wall	of		m)	
0			wall			
			(mm)			
1	Dry	W1	123	10.00	2853	28,530
	wall					
2	Dry	W2	122.5	10.52	3300	34,716
	wall					
3	Dry	W3	128	12.77	3133	40,008.41
	wall					
4	Dry	W4	144	18.51	3524	65,229.24
	wall					

	l	ssue11	L vol 3 (	June 18	)	
5	Dry wall	W5	122	13.28	3546	47,090.88
6	Brick wall	W6	150	8.78	1788	15,698.64
Т						2,31,273.17
ot əl						_
ai					Brick	15,698.64
					wall	
					Dry	2,15,574.53
					wall	
					cost	

# 2.3 Brick wall cost calculation

Sr.	Туре	Тур	Thickn	Area	Rat	Cost in Rs
No	of	e of	ess of	(Sq m)	e in	
	wall	wall	wall		Rs /	
			(mm)		(Sq	
					m)	
1	Brick	W1	123	10.00	178	17,880
	wall				8	
					0	
			400 5	40.50	470	40.000 70
2	Brick	W2	122.5	10.52	1/8	18,809.76
	wall				8	
3	Brick	W3	128	12.77	178	22,832.76
	wall				8	
					U	
	Dutali	14/4		40.54	170	22.005.00
4	Brick	VV4	144	18.51	1/8	33,095.88
	wali				8	
5	Brick	W5	122	13.28	178	23,744.64
	wall				8	
					0	
6	Brick	W6	150	8 78	178	15 698 64
Ŭ	wall		100	0.70		20,0001
	wan				8	
Tot						1,32,061.68
al						

# 2.4 Weight Calculations

#### ISSN: 2321-8134

- 2.2 Brick:
- 2.3 Wall Thickness = 150 mm
- 2.4 Density of brick brick = 1920 kg/m3
- 2.5 Load per sqm =  $0.15 \times 1920 = 288 \text{ Kg/m2}$
- 2.6 Total weight = 288 kg/m2

Brick Brick:

Wall	Density	Load	Total	Area
thickness	of Brick	per	weight	(Sq
(	Brick	Sqm	(1/ = ( 2))	111)
(mm)	(kg/m3)	(1/ ~ / ~ 2)	(Kg/m2)	
		(Kg/mZ)		
150	1920	288	288	10.00
122	1920	234.24	234.2	10.52
144	1920	276.48	276.4	12.77
128	1920	245.76	246	18.51
122.5	1920	234.24	234.2	13.28
123	1920	236.16	236.1	8.78

#### Dry wall:

52 kg/m2

52 kg/m2 Total area of dry wall = 73.86 x 52 kg/m2 = 3840.72 kg/m2

### Brick wall:

288 kg/m2

Total area of brick wall = 63.86 x 288 kg/m2 = 18391.68 kg /m2

# Issue11 vol 3 (June 18) 2.5 Summary of wall for 1BHK

Sr No	Type of wall	Area (Sqm)
1	W1	10.00
2	W2	10.52
3	W3	17.43
4	W4	18.51
5	W5	13.28
6	W6	8.78

### 2.6 Cost Estimations

By considering Labour + Material cost

Type of wall	Rate in Rs / Sq
	m
W1	2853
W2	3300
W3	3133
W4	3524
W5	3546
W6	1788

# **3** ABOUT MICROSOFT PROJECT

Microsoft Project is a project management software program developed and sold by Microsoft, which is designed to assist a project manager in developing a plan, assigning resources to tasks, tracking progress, managing the budget, and analyzing workloads. Project creates budgets based on assignment work and resource cost. As resources are assigned to the task and the program calculates the cost equal to the work times the

#### ISSN: 2321-8134

rate, which rolls up to the task level and then to any summary tasks level and finally to the project level. Resource definitions (Labour, equipment and materials) can be shared between projects using a shared resource pond. Each resource can have its individual calendar, which defines what days and time is resource present. Resource rates are used to calculate resource assignment costs. Each resource can be assigned to multiple tasks in multiple projects and each task can be assigned numerous resources.

# 3.1 Study Case

In order to get the clear view of difference between the traditional way of Project Management and Modern way of managing the project with the help of software called as Microsoft Project the actual example was taken from the Construction organization in Pune, India that uses that uses the Microsoft Excel for the Scheduling and Execution of the project.

### **3.2 Interview**

The interview was arranged with the Senior Engineer and Project Coordinator of the respective Construction Organization in Pune, India to identify the Problem they had



allocation, Labour fatigue due to overtime of the work, reaching of threshold limit due to working of Labour during holidays etc. Also to know the arrangement of activities they had used while executing a building project.

# 3.3 Data Collections

Data like the Activities Starting Date, Finish Date, Duration of Activities and their Delays, Relationship of Activities and task, Amount of Resources used for the Activities etc. was collected from the Construction Organization of Pune, India to analogize the data and make the data use in the Microsoft Project with the proper changes were made

#### 3.4 Data Analysis

The main activities from the data collected from organization was divided into sub activities for the proper functioning of the project and identification of the Parallel activities, Slacks and crushing of Non-Critical activities was recognized from the given data and appropriate alterations were made to meet the goals of the research.

#### 3.5 Analytical facts and features

Scheduling technique using network models with the help of Microsoft Project, it's easily to understand and visualize the flow and network of the project. One can easily see the Critical Path which is the Longest Path of the Project, Parallel Activities, Slack which is also called as Total Float of the Activity can be visualized, Relation between activities is understood by networking. Owing to this there are fewer obligations while executing and project and right measure can be taken easily.

#### 3.6 Ease of work for labour

By providing all the governmental holidays of India workers, it makes good environment for Labour to work in the organization. While on other hand, making workers to work for fix timing 9AM to 6PM with one hour of break helps stress free surrounding for workers which makes them willingly work on the field.

#### 3.7 Block Work

As per the project co-coordinator and site engineer from the oxford golf resort, the approximate conventional construction technique (Bock work) project scheduling, project planning and other necessary details gathered from the expertise and interviews and from internet.



Fig No 2 : MSP Result file (Block work)



Fig No 3 : MSP Result file (Block work)

#### 3.8 Dry wall

IN THE DRY WALL CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES THE MAJOR TASK ARE AS SHOWN IN FIGURE.



Fig No 4 : MSP Result file (Dry wall)

By using the Microsoft Project software the project planning and execution of the project will be solve by MSP. With both the techniques 1) Dry wall and 2) Block work

#### 3.9 Comparative analysis: (W.R.T Time):

Total Time required for the completion of brick wall construction with same structure:

#### 507 Days

Total time required for the completion of the dry wall construction with same structure:

451 Days



As per the simple calculation the construction time of the same structure of **56 days** will be save by using dry wall construction technique.

#### 3.10 Recycling material of dry wall

1) We have already developed a new recycling system for high water content mud such as construction sludge by using paper debris (fragments of the newspaper) to increase the recycling rate of the construction sludge. However, recently, the price of old newspaper is increasing. Therefore, development of inexpensive fiber materials are strongly desired in order to reduce the recycling cost. In this study, the applicability of waste gypsum board paper instead of paper debris was experimentally investigated. The waste gypsum board paper was crushed by the hammer mill and crushed paper was used in fiber-cement-stabilized-soil method. It was found through the unconfined compression tests that the failure strength and failure strain of modified soils by using crushed board paper are almost the same as those of modified soils by using paper debris.

# 4 RESULT ANALYSIS

This work consists of Comparative analysis between dry wall and brick wall by using the Site data and traditional bricks/blocks construction techniques.

	Drywall	Brick wall
Speed of installation	40- 50m2/day	10m2/day

ISSN: 2321-8134

Water saving	Yes	No
Weight	Lightest - 52Kg/m2 (non load bearing)	230Kg/m2 (load bearing)
Fire Rating	Can be designed to provide stability, integrity and insulation	Weak in terms of insulation
Usage in Wet Areas	Yes	Yes
Wall Surface	Smooth and crack free surfaces	Difficult to get very smooth surfaces even with skilled labour
Sound insulation	Upto 65db possible with insulation	35-40db
Heat insulation	Four times less heat	High heat convection,
	K=0.16W/m	K=0.81W/m K
Quality of Material	Standard quality, supply from single source	K=0.81W/m K Difficult to control, various sources of supply
Quality of Material Quality of Wall	Convection K=0.16W/m K Standard quality, supply from single source Standard installation, easy to control	K=0.81W/m K Difficult to control, various sources of supply Depending on labour skills

http://www.ijfeat.org (C) International Journal For Engineering Applications and Technology, June 18 (01-08)

### **5** CONCLUSION

- 1. In the preliminary analysis the drywall gives progress of work as per the schedule and there is no delay in the construction work for completion of work with less dead load as compare to brick work with minimum wastage and superior smooth finishing is achieved.
- 2. This technique gives faster construction that is 3 to 4 times brick construction.
- 3. It reduces dead load of structure it gives 8 to 10 times lighter than brick work.
- 4. It gives use of recyclable material which is made of environment friendly material.
- 5. It gives smooth finishing seamless & crack free surface, allowing ease of decoration via paint, tiles or wallpapers.
  - REFERENCES
- G. O. Young, "Synthetic structure of industrial plastics (Book style with paper title and editor)," in *Plastics*, 2nd ed. vol. 3, J. Peters, Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964, pp. 15–64.
- [2] Mori M., Takahashi H. and Kumakura K., "An Experimental Study on Strength of Fiber-Cement-Stabilized Mud by use of Paper Sludge and Durability for Drying and Wetting Tests", J. of the Mining and Materials Processing Institute of Japan, Vol.122, No.6-7, pp.353-361, (2006).
- [3] Takahashi H., Mori M., Kumakura K., Otani M., and Ishi T., "Study on the Recycling System of Lightweight Fiber-Stabilized Landfill Materials from High-Water Content Construction Sludge", J. of the Society of Materials Engineering for Resources of Japan, Vol.16, No.1, pp.21-26, (2003).
- [4] http://www.env.go.jp/recycle/report/h14-05/all.pdf
- [5] Kanahama H., "Study on New Soil Improvement Method by using Waste Gypsum Boards, Master Thesis of Tohoku University, pp.25-30, (2009).
- [6] D.I. Kolaitis, M.A. Founti, Development of a solid reaction kinetics gypsum dehydration model appropriate for CFD simulation of gypsum plasterboard wall assemblies exposed to fire, Fire Safety J. 58 (2013) 151– 159.
- [7] DIN 4108-2, Thermal protection and energy economy in buildings – Part 2: Minimum requirements to thermal insulation, 2013.
- [8] Dost, W. A. and Botsai, E. E. (1990). Wood: Detailing for Performance. GRDA Publications, Mill Valley, CA.

#### ISSN: 2321-8134

- [9] Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1997. NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings. Report FEMA-273. October. Washington, DC.
- [10] Freeman, S.A., 1977. "Racking tests of high rise building partitions," Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, vol. 103, No. ST8, August 1977, pp. 1673-1685.
- [11] Grundahl, Kirk, (1997). "Partition separation." Wood Design & Building. Canadian Wood Council, Ottawa, Ontario.